This article was downloaded by: On: *28 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### Physics and Chemistry of Liquids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646857

### Regularities in Melting Points of Lithium Halides: Is LiH Anomalous?

N. H. March<sup>ab</sup>; A. T. Balaban<sup>c</sup>; F. E. Leys<sup>a</sup>; D. J. Klein<sup>c</sup>; W. A. Seitz<sup>c</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Physics University of Antwerp (RUCA) Antwerp Belgium, <sup>b</sup> Materials Science and Engineering Department University of Liverpool Liverpool England, <sup>c</sup> Measurement and Research Services (Mars) Texas A&M University at Galveston Galveston Texas 77553 USA,

Online publication date: 06 August 2010

**To cite this Article** March, N. H., Balaban, A. T., Leys, F. E., Klein, D. J. and Seitz, W. A.(2003) 'Regularities in Melting Points of Lithium Halides: Is LiH Anomalous?', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 41: 3, 303 – 308

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/0031910031000075460 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0031910031000075460

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doese should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## **REGULARITIES IN MELTING POINTS OF LITHIUM HALIDES: IS LIH ANOMALOUS?**

### N.H. MARCH<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, A.T. BALABAN<sup>c</sup>, F.E. LEYS<sup>a</sup>, D.J. KLEIN<sup>c</sup> and W.A. SEITZ<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, University of Antwerp (RUCA), Antwerp, Belgium; <sup>b</sup>Materials Science and Engineering Department, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England; <sup>c</sup>Measurement and Research Services (Mars), Texas A&M University at Galveston, Galveston, Texas 77553, USA

(Received 30 September 2002)

Using experimental data for the melting temperature  $T_m$  for four Li halides (F to I), it is demonstrated that  $T_m Z^{1/3}$  is close to constant,  $\cong (2530 \pm 250)$  K, where Z is the atomic number of the halogen. This formula is not appropriate for what sometimes is considered the simplest halide, LiH, and therefore alternatives are investigated which involve a characteristic electrostatic energy  $e^2/\varepsilon s$ , with s the internuclear separation and  $\varepsilon$  the static dielectric constant.

Keywords: Characteristic electrostatic energy; Dielectric constant

There is current interest in nuclear energy production via sonoluminescence of deuterated liquids. Thence we have surmised upon the possibility of sonicating molten lithium deuteride [1], where boosted efficiency might conceivably be obtained via chain reaction: for <sup>6</sup>Li hit by a neutron gives tritium and  $\alpha$ , with the tritium then readily fusing with *D* to produce much energy, and another neutron. (Moderation of the reaction could be achieved with admixture of <sup>7</sup>Li or <sup>1</sup>H.) This has motivated us to consider further the melting of LiD, and in particular whether it decomposes before melting. In fact, LiD and the closely similar LiH have very similar melting points [2] near 900 K (at atmospheric pressure) with decomposition over 200 K higher.

More generally we here consider the question of the behavior of the experimental melting temperatures  $T_m$  of the lithium halides LiF, LiCl, LiBr, LiI interpreting also the hydride LiH as a halide. To this end, we record in Table I the measured values of  $T_m$  in K for these five crystalline materials [3]. Since, in the original form of modern density functional theory, namely the Thomas–Fermi statistical method [4], the "size" of an atom is proportional to  $Z^{-1/3}$ , with Z the atomic number, we have entered in the final column of Table I the product  $Z^{1/3}T_m$ . Whereas the melting temperatures of the four halides F–I vary by a factor a little less than 2, the product is constant at a value 2530 K to within an error 10%. As seen from Table I, LiH is anomalous in this context.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

	$T_m$ (°K)	Ζ	$Z^{1/3}T_m$ (°K)
LiH	963	1	963
LiF	1113	9	2315
LiCl	883	17	2269
LiBr	823	35	2691
LiI	740	53	2780

TABLE I Measured melting temperatures correlated with  $Z^{-1/3}$ 



FIGURE 1 Melting points (in K) versus  $Z^{1/3}$ .

However, the same data depicted in Fig. 1 in the form of a plot of  $T_m$  versus  $Z^{1/3}$ , could lead to the different conclusion that it is LiF which is anomalous. To understand this, as a guide to the eye, the points for the Li salts of H, Cl, Br, and I can be joined by a smooth curve which then may be extrapolated to  $Z^{1/3} = 0$ . The Z = 0 value for  $T_m$  thereby found is  $\cong 980$  K, whence if we associate this with a face-centered cubic form of Li solid at the appropriate lattice spacing and use the empirical relation  $E_v/K_BT_m \sim 10$  where  $E_v$  is the vacancy formation energy [5], then one finds  $E_v \sim 0.8$  eV which seems plausible. It is relevant in the above context that Barr and Lidiard [6] also correlated  $k_BT_m$  with defect energies in ionic crystals. Thence with this rationalization in hand Fig. 1 might lead one to the conclusion that it is LiF which is the anomalous material.

To attempt to resolve this apparent conflict between the plot in Fig. 1 and the results recorded in Table I, we have considered a different approach, in which the thermal energy  $k_B T_m$  associated with the melting temperature is compared with a characteristic Coulomb energy  $E_C = e^2/\varepsilon s$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the low-frequency dielectric constant [2,7,8] and s is the equilibrium internuclear separation [9]. Table II records values of  $\varepsilon$  and s for the four lithium halides plus LiH.

Before turning to discuss the relation between  $k_B T_m$  and the characteristic Coulomb energy  $E_C$ , it is of interest to use the Thomas–Fermi variable  $Z^{1/3}$  to study regularities in the internuclear separations s of the Li halides (including LiH). Figure 2 shows a plot

	$\varepsilon$ [refs. noted]	$S(\prod)$	$1/\varepsilon s \ (\prod)^{-1}$
LiH	12 [2]	2.043	0.041
LiF	9.2 [8] and 9.0 [9]	2.013	0.055
LiCl	11.1 [8] and 11.9 [9]	2.570	0.035
LiBr	12.1 [8] and 13.0 [9]	2.751	0.030
LiI	11.0 [8]	3.006	0.030

TABLE II Measured values of dielectric constants and internuclear separations



FIGURE 2 Nearest-neighbor distance (in []) versus  $Z^{1/3}$ .

of *s versus*  $Z^{1/3}$ . In terms of this latter independent variable, it is LiF which is anomalous. The other four internuclear separations can be represented by the linear form

$$s = mZ^{1/3} + c (1)$$

where m = 0.33 and c = 1.70. We also comment here that we studied whether Eq. (1), written for the lithium halides/hydride, would apply for the other four alkali metals Na-Cs replacing Li. The answer is that Eq. (1) continues to work well if the fluorides are omitted, the "constants" m and c in Eq. (1) varying slowly with the nuclear charge of the alkali metal. Since in the Coulomb energy  $E_C$ , the product  $\varepsilon s$  appears in the denominator, we note that  $\varepsilon$  varies little except for the low value for LiF. However, as noted in [7], discrepancies in  $\varepsilon$  of  $\sim 10\%$  are frequently found in the literature. Nevertheless,  $\varepsilon$  for LiF is quite plainly "anomalous" compared with the other four materials in Table II, paralleling the "anomaly" of LiF in the internuclear separation s recorded in the third column. We shall see that these "anomalies" combine to make the comparison of  $T_m$  with  $1/\varepsilon s$  shown in Fig. 3 particularly revealing.

Though the horizontal error bars in Fig. 3 are large because of the errors in the dielectric constant  $\varepsilon$ , it is plain that the possible "anomalous" materials LiF and LiH fit nicely on a linear relation with LiCl, the hydride lying now between the chloride and the fluoride because of the low values of both  $\varepsilon$  and s for LiF recorded in Table II.



FIGURE 3 Melting points (in K) versus 1/ys (in []).

Lithium bromide and iodide are not presently separated by the accuracy of  $1/\varepsilon s$ , while their melting temperatures differ substantially. It would therefore be of considerable interest if the static (or low-frequency) dielectric constants of these two materials could be remeasured with substantially improved accuracy. Here common expectations concerning the increase in anionic size from Cl<sup>-</sup> to Br<sup>-</sup> to I<sup>-</sup> would suggest that the polarizabilities also increase from Cl<sup>-</sup> to Br<sup>-</sup> to I<sup>-</sup>. Then one expects electron affinities to correspondingly decrease, as is in agreement [10] with experiment, so that also one expects  $\varepsilon(\text{LiCl}) < \varepsilon(\text{LiBr}) < \varepsilon(\text{LiI})$  which however is in disagreement with the reported measurements or [8]. Further, data available [8,9] for the Na and K halides displays our expected trend. The disagreement might most likely be due to an erroneously low measured value for  $\varepsilon(\text{LiI})$ , whence it may be noted that a modest increase toward our expectation for  $\varepsilon(\text{LiI})$  would bring the plot into better form. But even as it stands a reasonable fit of the results in Fig. 3 is given by

$$T_m = (m/\varepsilon s) + C \tag{2}$$

where m = 1300 while C = 405.

In summary, the first part of the present investigation was motivated from the "characteristic" length for the Thomas–Fermi neutral atom being proportional to  $Z^{-1/3}$ . The independent variable  $Z^{1/3}$ , with Ze now the halogen nuclear charge, then exposed regularities both in the melting temperature  $T_m$  and in the internuclear separation s, both Figs. 1 and 2 suggesting that LiF is "anomalous", presumably because of the high electronegativity of F. This "anomalous" behavior of LiF is also reflected in the values of the dielectric constant given in Table II.

Let us conclude by making a few comments in relation to the critical behavior. We have not found critical-point data for the lithium halides/hydride, so we restrict attention to the alkali chlorides NaCl and KCl. From the work of Pitzer [11], preferred values of the critical temperature  $T_c$  (due to Kirshenbaum *et al.* [12]) are 3800 K for NaCl and 3470 K for KCl. The critical molar volumes for the ion pairs NaCl and

KCl are  $V_c = 420$  and  $625 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$ , and we find that the product  $T_c V_c^{1/3}$  is constant to  $\sim 1\%$ . For the five alkali metals Chapman and March [13] first noted the constancy of  $T_c V_c^{\forall}$  through this series, with the exponent  $\forall$  near 0.3. Subsequently an argument was made [14] for  $\forall = 1/3$ , and this was confirmed for these metallic fluids by Leys *et al.* [15], where this behavior is argued to be a "fingerprint" of such Coulomb liquids (with alkali-metal ions in a sea of electrons). Leys *et al.* also noted the linearity of a plot of  $T_m vs$ .  $T_c$  for the five alkali-metal fluids. It is tempting to assume that such linear behavior will hold for the alkali chlorides, and if the available data for NaCl and KCl are used, this then yields

$$T_c = aT_m + b \tag{3}$$

with a = 13.2 and b = 6773 K. But more data on other ionic fluids is needed before such a relationship is reasonably established. If nevertheless this is used, one then predicts a  $T_c$  of around 5900 K for LiH, which is at a much higher temperature than its point of decomposition at ordinary pressures.

But nevertheless, the final Fig. 3 shows that  $T_m$  correlates well with the Coulomb energy  $e^2/\varepsilon s$  for the five materials focused on the present study. And the lowest value of the product  $\varepsilon s$  for LiF leads to the highest melting temperature >1100 K. This leaves LiH placed in Fig. 3 between LiCl and LiF.

Our final comment takes us back to the first sentences of this communication. While we have focused on LiH, we close by recording that LiD, a material of considerable potential for nuclear energy production, has a melting temperature measured as differing by only a few degrees from that of LiH given in Table I. The same dielectric constant  $\varepsilon \approx 12$  has been indicated [2] for both LiH and LiD.

#### Acknowledgments

The contribution of one of us (NHM) to this study was carried out during a visit to Texas A&M at Galveston in 2002. NHM wishes to thank his colleagues there for very generous hospitality. Also NHM acknowledges partial financial support from the Office of Naval Research. Especially he wishes to thank Dr. P. Schmidt of that Office for much motivation and encouragement. DJK and WAS acknowledge the support of the Welch Foundation of Houston, Texas.

#### References

- R.P. Taleyarkhan, C.D. West, J.S. Cho, R.T. Lahey Jr., R.I. Nigmatulin and R.C. Block (2002). Science, 295, 1868.
- [2] E.Wiberg and E. Amberger (1971). Hydrides of the Elements of Main Groups I-IV. p. 16 Elsevier Pub. Co., Amsterdam.
- [3] Handbook of Chemistry and Physics.
- [4] See for example, N.H. March (1975). Self-Consistent Fields in Atoms. Pergamon, Oxford and N.H. March (1992). Electron Density Theory of Atoms and Molecules. Academic Press, NY.
- [5] See, for instance, A.B. Bhatia and N.H. March (1984). J. Chem. Phys., 80, 2076.
- [6] L.W. Barr and A. Lidiard (1970). In: H. Eyring, D. Henderson and W. Jost (Eds.), *Physical Chemistry: An Advanced Treatise*, Vol. 10, pp. 151, Academic Press, NY.
- [7] (1972). For the halides: Sec. 9-8 of American Institute of Physics Handbook, 3rd Edn. McGraw-Hill, NY.
- [8] R.P. Lowndes and D.H. Martin (1970). Proc. Royal Soc., (London) A, 316, 351.
- [9] J.B. Holbrook, R. Sabry-Grant, B.C. Smith and T.V. Tandel (1990). J. Chem. Ed., 67, 305.

- [10] S.H. Patil (1985). J. Chem. Phys., 83, 5764.
- [11] K.S. Pitzer (1990). Acc. Chem. Res., 23, 333.
- [12] A.D. Kirshenbaum, J.A. Cahill, P.J. McGonigal and A.V. Grosse (1962). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 24, 1287.
- [13] R.G. Chapman and N.H. March (1986). Phys. Chem. Liquids, 16, 77.
- [14] D.J. Klein and N.H. March (1994). Phys. Chem. Liquids, 28, 207.
- [15] F.E. Leys, N.H. March, V.E. Van Doren and G. Straub (2000), Solid State Commun., 113, 479; and also N.H. March (2000), Int. J. Quantum Chem., 80, 692.